



Thermospheric preconditioning of the ionosphere

Anasuya L. Aruliah, E. M. Griffin, E. A. K. Ford, A. Dobbin, A. D. Aylward,

Atmospheric Physics Laboratory University College London

C. J. Davis

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory





Three themes:

a) Geomagnetic history

b)Composition change

c) Neutral wind dynamo and feedback





The problem is the potentially wrong allocation of energy

E-M energy from magnetosphere = Joule heating in thermosphere/ionosphere + acceleration of the neutrals

The source of the problem is the limitation of observations and consequent assumptions

No direct observations of all parameters-hence derivation required; limited height determination; limited spatial and time resolution; poor use of models







GCM models such as CTIP systematically overestimate the magnitude of neutral winds by up to a factor of 2







MSIS and GCM models underestimate high-latitude thermospheric temperatures by a few hundred Kelvin





Seasonal and Solar Cycle Variation of Ion Velocities from the EISCAT radar

Solar max

Solar min





Figure 7. Seasonal variation in ion velocities at Tromsø at solar maximum. Positive north is towards the right, therefore positive east is pointing to the bottom of the page and positive west to the top. The scale is given by the arrow marked V=100 m s⁻¹.

Figure 8. Seasonal variation in ion velocities at Tromsø at solar minimum. Positive north is towards the right, therefore positive east is pointing to the bottom of the page and positive west to the top. The scale is given by the arrow marked V=100 m s⁻¹.





What do we do with these measurements?



 $JH = f\sigma_{\rm P}(z) E(z) dz$



Anasuya Aruliah

Geomagnetic history effect on CMAT model zonally averaged data





Geomagnetic history effect on CMAT model zonally averaged Ne

(plotted for heights 100-400km and all latitudes)

Steady State Quiet Kp=2+



Previously Active 23 hours before Now Quiet Kp=2+

20

40

Lotitude

60

80



Why is this important? - Geomagnetic history effect





Why is this important? - Geomagnetic history effect







The problem is *also* the wrong allocation of energy to the wrong places



AE-satellite (Foster et al., 1983)



DE-2 satellite (Weimer, 2005) Implicitly including conductivity.





Global high-latitude thermosphere

FPI/SCANDI coverage and the SuperDARN network







SP-UK-TRISTATIC

Unique tristatic measurements of ion-neutral coupling in a common volume using FPIs and EISCAT









Comparison of EISCAT Ne (E and F-region) with FPI 630nm intensity over period 22-24UT

Decay of 630nm emission despite near constant particle precipitation.

Decay times of between 14-40 mins





F-region Neutral Wind Dynamo is up to 50% of the Magnetospheric Dynamo



x- and y-components of magnetospheric (1min and 15min averages) and neutral wind dynamo electric fields













Clear wave structure in neutral temperature

Lomb-Scargle analysis shows periods at > 99% confidence at 1.4, 1.8, 2.4 hours in both Tn and in equivalent current densities from IMAGE magnetometers











Large latitudinal temperature gradients







Kp:

4+

50

4+





SCANDI: new instrument providing all-sky imaging of wind and temperature fields using state-of-the-art CCD technology







Scanning Doppler Imager at Alaska Mark Conde







Thermospheric preconditioning of the ionosphere through:

- a) Geomagnetic history
- b) Composition change
- c) Neutral wind dynamo and feedback

Acknowledgements: E.Griffin, E.Ford, I.McWhirter, A.Charalambous (FPIs) + ESRANGE and SGO institutions A.Dobbin, I.Mueller-Wodarg, A.Aylward (CMAT/CTIP model)

C.Davis (EISCAT)