





Equation (1) has been used on the E2E simulator induction data simulated for different SWARM constellations. The synthetic data used are calculated for a 1-D mantle and a thin heterogeneous upper shell simulating the ocean / sediment / continent contrast (see the E2E report). The objective of the inversion presented here is to obtain the correct distribution of conductivity in this upper shell, at the given limited wavelengths 1=1-7

On each panel to the left and to the right, the external (E) and internal (I) potentials have been computed for a SHE up to I=3 for E and I=7 for E and up to 40 periods. The real and imaginary part of the resulting coefficients are displayed in the space domain for a choice of 6 periods for different tests (using 30 days of constellation 3 and 4 to the left and one year to the right).

and externar potential (E) shown on both panels at a given period is the sum of I=1-3 and is dominated by the I=1 dipole. The internal potential (I) is the sum of I=2-7 to emphasize the visibility of wavelengths shorter than I=1.

The potential coefficients extracted from the synthetic satellite SWARM data and displayed on both panels are used in a full 3-D inversion approach. The data modelled here consist of SHE coefficients from I=1-3 for the external field and I=1-7 for the internal field. I used 15 periods from 30 days to 2.14 days.



A

14 30 50 -----

The full 3-D inverse procedure uses the external E coefficients as forcing terms at all periods. Here we limited the demonstration to an upper heterogeneous layer and a stack of homogeneous shells. Note that in general any number of heterogeneous shells may be used.

The inversion is carried out to minimize a weighted misfit function between the internal potentials obtained from the satellite data and the internal potential calculated with my 3-D forward solver. In the case treated here, the upper shell was divided into 10 (latitude) by 20 (longitude) meshes. The iterative inverse procedure seeked the optimum distribution of conductivity within the upper shell to minimize the misfit minimize the misfit.

In order to accelerate the procedure, a preliminary 1-D model was seeked using both E and I coefficients at I=1-3. A 1-D model close to the 1-D model used to synthetize the data was obtained. Then the 3-D inversion was run starting from the 1-D

The result of the inversion is given in the figure to the right. The result is quite satisfactory. Both the shape and the amplitude of the conductivity anomalies are correctly retrieved. Note that because a SHE of the data limited to I=7 was used, no fine detail may be recovered here.

Upper shell structure obtained from the inversion of the I data Forced by the E data through a 3-D induction solver



Conclusion:

The result presented in this poster shows that:

1/ It is possible to obtain from SWARM data set a series of induced data at different wavelengths and periods along with the inducing external field

2/ The external field obtained may be used as a forcing term in 1-D and 3-D modelling to recover the electrical conductivity

3/ The 3-D inversion of these data sets is now working

In practice

There is obviously no need to recover the coast effect from the data and procedure either correcting or taking into account this effect should be used as a preconditionner to the data inversion. I intend to use a subsequent set of data calculated during the E2E project to inverse for the mantle structure and study the best approaches to optimize the SWARM corrected time. constellation.